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On April 19, 2005, as I sat in the Gallery at Queen’s Park in Toronto, a remarkable thing happened. We witnessed the birth of the new Ontario Heritage Act.

And yet, despite all the cheers, I was reminded of the many beautiful heritage buildings we’ve lost in Ontario – irreplaceable yet indefinable memories. As the new Ontario Heritage Act takes effect and the work begins on a new level, we can at least feel confident that our unique heritage treasures will be spared the wrecker’s ball for current and future generations.

It’s a time to celebrate, a time to rejoice in our accomplishments and commend each other for our combined achievements. And although we are immensely grateful for the hard work and determination of heritage advocates across the province, we are also humbled by the enormity of the task ahead.

With the proper tools and processes in place, we will continue to advocate for strengthened heritage conservation throughout the province. You have made it obvious to us that heritage truly matters to you; the continued growth of the Doors Open Ontario program is a clear indication. And in the months and years ahead, we will continue to update you on the progress we make.

On behalf of the Board of Directors and staff of the Ontario Heritage Foundation, welcome to a new era in heritage conservation!

L.M. Alexander
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The new Ontario Heritage Act took effect on April 19, 2005, as I sat in the Gallery at Queen’s Park in Toronto...
The new Ontario Heritage Act:

The evolution of heritage conservation By Richard Moorhouse and Beth Hanna

An important shift has occurred in Ontario’s legislative framework for heritage conservation. On April 28, 2005, the Ontario Heritage Amendment Act (Bill 60) received royal assent and became law. With it came some remarkable new powers.

Most significantly, the new legislation enables municipal and provincial powers to identify and protect heritage sites and districts, marine heritage sites, and archaeological resources. It also gives the Ontario Minister of Culture powers to identify and designate provincially significant heritage sites. And, for the first time, it provides demolition control powers – as opposed to demolition delay provisions.

For decades, many architects and heritage advocates have emphatically warned that our architectural heritage is vanishing. Today, we have new tools for preservation at our disposal. This new binding legislation creates a new framework, culture and environment for conservation. Yet, we still need to determine what this new framework or conservation culture looks like, and what fresh opportunities exist.

Heritage conservation generally involves four key steps – identification, protection, preservation and promotion. We are now at a critical point where we can determine how these activities will evolve and change.

Identify and promote. Doors Open Ontario represents a vibrant new approach to the identification and promotion of heritage. Communities across Ontario are taking a fresh look at their heritage – at what makes their village, town, city or region different from the next. They are examining their architecture, streetscapes, landscapes and gardens and celebrating these with neighbours and visitors through Doors Open Ontario events that ultimately contribute to their community’s development.

Doors Open Ontario represents a vibrant new approach to the identification and promotion of heritage. (Shown here: Coldwater Grist Mill – serving the community since 1833; an active part of Doors Open Huronia since 2002)
His name was Walter Perry; they called him “Mr. Emancipation.” Born in Windsor in 1899, this great-great-grandson of slaves descended from thousands of spectacles each year to his hometown from both sides of the border. They flooded the streets to take part in his celebration of the abolition of slavery. Frustrated, however, with the brawling that the festivities had become known for, Perry reorganized the event in 1935.

“I called together a group of forward-thinking Americans and Canadians, and we had the nucleus of the celebrations as we know them today. Some would refer to it as ‘the greatest freedom show on earth.’” Over the years, the event grew to include parades, midway rides, music, storytelling and soul food. The day also provided a vehicle to lobby for Black rights in the States and supported Beck’s company. The C. Beck Manufacturing Company, established by Carl Beck (1838-1915), a German immigrant who moved to Canada in 1865. Beck began delivering wood in a cart around town; he opened a sawmill in 1873 with his partner Gropp. Later, he bought out his partner and established the C. Beck Lumbering Company and opened a factory to produce woodware and wood packaging. The company sold wooden materials for manufacturing and for handling and transporting food products.

In addition to the plaque events mentioned on this page, the Foundation also has plaque unveilings scheduled for The Niagara Parks Commission (September 16 in Niagara Falls) and CFCL-Timmins (September 21). For more information on our exciting plaque events, visit www.heritagefdn.on.ca or call 416-325-5000. Come celebrate with us!

WHAT’S HAPPENING AT THE FOUNDATION . . .

STEWARD HOUSE COMMEMORATED

By Steven Cook

Steward was one of 17 local Blacks who signed a petition asking Lieutenant-Governor Sir Francis Bond Head to refuse to extradite Kentucky fugitive Solomon Moseby. Later, Moseby was rescued from the Niagara jail by nearly 200 African Canadians.

After 1847, the Stewards moved to Galt (now Cambridge) where they lived for the rest of their lives. Today, the Steward house serves as an excellent example of local vernacular architecture and serves as a compelling memorial to these hardworking people who contributed so much to protecting Black refugees in Canada and to the building of Niagara-on-the-Lake. The Niagara Foundation acquired the property in December 1999 to restore the historic structure with the intention of opening a museum. On October 15, 2005, the Ontario Heritage Foundation will commemorate this historic site with the unveiling of a provincial plaque.

Uncle Tom’s Cabin Historic Site – a property held in trust by the Foundation — celebrated Emancipation Day on August 1, 2005 with an inspiring program of gospel music, storytelling and soul food. The highlight of the day’s events was a performance by Frederick Douglass IV, great-great-grandson of the famed abolitionist, author and statesman.

CELEBRATING EMANCIPATION DAY

The greatest freedom show on earth

By Steven Cook

Emancipation Day was an important expression of identity for the Black community and anti-slavery activists. It gave the people the opportunity to celebrate the end of slavery in Canada and the British Empire with parades, music, food and dancing. The day also provided a vehicle for the Black rights in Canada and the abolition of American slavery.

American abolitionist Frederick Douglass joined Josiah Henson at the Dawn Settlement in 1854 to celebrate the 20th anniversary of British Emancipation. Douglass wrote: “The day was full of promise for a joyous and profitable celebration . . . People began to pour in from the vicinity, attended in their nearest and best — colored people nearly all. They knew what they were about. A bitter experience of slavery in the States had taught them the value of liberty, and they embraced gladly the opportunity this celebration afforded them of manifesting their sense of its value.”

Emancipation Day continues in Ontario today. In 2005, Owen Sound marked its 143rd anniversary while Windsor celebrated its 172nd. Uncle Tom’s Cabin Historic Site has revived the spirit of emancipation – celebrated all those years ago by Josiah Henson and other freedom seekers. Through these combined efforts, the spirit of trailblazers such as Walter Perry, Frederick Douglass and Josiah Henson lives on – a community spirit celebrating freedom and human rights.

Steven Cook is the Site Manager at Uncle Tom’s Cabin Historic Site.

William and Susannah Steward (also spelled Stewart) lived in Niagara’s “coloured village” – a vibrant community of former slaves, Black Loyalists and African Americans.

William was born c. 1799 and came to Niagara-on-the-Lake in 1834. His wife, Susannah, was born in the United States. It is not known if the Stewards were fugitive slaves or free, or if they came to Canada together. There is no local record of their marriage but they may have lived together as free people in the northern United States before migrating to Upper Canada, which was a haven for freedom seekers from American slavery.

William was literate, having signed his naturalization papers and land transfer documents. The Stewards also purchased their property in town the year William came to Canada, suggesting that they brought money with them.

Records indicate that the Stewards were active in the Black community. In 1837, William Steward was one of 17 local Blacks who signed a petition asking Lieutenant-Governor Sir Francis Bond Head to refuse to extradite Kentucky fugitive Solomon Moseby. Later, Moseby was rescued from the Niagara jail by nearly 200 African Canadians.

Over time, Beck built a large, family-run lumber manufacturing business through aggressive marketing, shrewd diversification and technological investment. An extensive array of Georgian Bay area lumber camps, specially shingled, lath and lumber mills, two general stores and three box, pallet and woodware factories in Penetanguishene and Toronto supported Beck’s company. The C. Beck Manufacturing Company Ltd. operated until 1969. A savvy businessman and a strong supporter of community life in Penetanguishene, Carl Beck and his company straddled all activities in the wood business – from lumbering to production – and played an important role in Ontario’s lumbering and industrial heritage.

PRESERVING OUR INDUSTRIAL PAST

On September 9, 2005, the Foundation will unveil a provincial plaque to commemorate the C. Beck Manufacturing Company Ltd. – a lumbering and wood manufacturing company based in Penetanguishene from 1875 to 1969. The company was founded by Carl Beck (1838-1915), a German immigrant who moved to Penetanguishene in 1865.

Beck began delivering wood in a cart around town; he opened a sawmill in 1873 with his partner Gropp. Later, he bought out his partner and established the C. Beck Lumbering Company and opened a factory to produce woodware and wood packaging. The company sold wooden materials for manufacturing and for handling and transporting food products.
GETTING THE ROYAL TREATMENT

In June, the Ontario Heritage Foundation hosted two plaque unveilings with Their Royal Highnesses The Earl and Countess of Wessex. Joining The Honourable Lincoln M. Alexander – Chairman of the Foundation – HRH The Countess of Wessex unveiled a plaque on June 5 before nearly 900 guests in St. Catharines to commemorate Victoria Cross recipient Colonel Graham Thomson Lyall. Then, on June 7, over 1,500 people watched while TRH The Earl and Countess of Wessex unveiled a plaque to commemorate the mod- istm Toronto-Dominion Centre in Toronto. The Foundation is grateful to Their Royal Highnesses for their participation in these activities.

Also, our own queen of the canvas – renowned landscape artist Doris McCarthy – was on hand for a plaque unveiling on May 29 to commemorate her home and studio – Fool’s Paradise, located on the geologically important Scarborough Bluffs.

The Foundation’s Provincial Plaque Program commemorates significant people, places and events in Ontario’s history. Since 1983, more than 1,180 of these distinctive blue and gold plaques have been unveiled.

For more information, visit: www.heritagefdn.on.ca.

HONOURING THOSE WHO PRESERVE

Since 1996, the Foundation – through its Heritage Community Recognition Program – has been honouring individuals and small groups who are actively involved in working to preserve Ontario’s rich and diverse heritage. Local municipal, regional, First Nations and Métis councils nominate candidates for this recognition. While over 450 people were honoured in 2004, over 2,000 people have been acknowledged by this program to date.

This year, the program was expanded to recognize individuals who – over the course of their lifetime – have made exceptional contributions to their communities by creating vibrant societies where heritage sites are protected, used and enjoyed. Thirty-six people received this prestigious Lifetime Achievement recognition. Here are two samples of the great work of these lifetime achievement recipients:

Dr. Margaret Angus has been contributing professionally and as a volunteer toward the recognition, recording and preservation of the structural and cultural history of Kingston since 1907. She has published many historical publications and received numerous distinguished honors and awards for her efforts, including: The Order of Canada, a special Award of Merit from the Ontario Historical Society and the Golden Jubilee Medal from the Canadian Governor General.

Lynn Phillip Hodgson was instrumental in establishing the Camp X Museum in Oshawa in 1977. He continues to volunteer countless hours to expand its collection of artifacts and organize exhibits. Camp X was the Allied training centre for espionage and counter-espionage agents during the Second World War. He is presently working on his seventh book recounting wartime events. On a voluntary basis, he has given lectures to over 50,000 students about the War and serves as a resource for history teachers Canada-wide.

To view a complete list of the 2004 Heritage Community Recognition Program recipients, or to obtain a nomination form for this year’s program, visit: www.heritagefdn.on.ca or call 416-325-5015.

YOUNG HERITAGE CHAMPIONS

To be young does not preclude someone from wanting to contribute to his or her community – to want to make a difference in the world. The recipients of the Foundation’s Young Heritage Leaders (YHL) program definitely do make a difference. They are champions for heritage in their communities – working to preserve and showcase the culture, buildings, environment and history of their towns and cities.

In 2004, the Foundation recognized over 500 young people through the YHL program. Achievements included theatrical performances of heritage plays; researching and documenting the life stories of Canadian war veterans; designing interpretative exhibits about local history; and the conservation of natural heritage areas and habitats.

“Young people are leading the growth in volunteerism today,” said The Honourable Lincoln M. Alexander, Chairman of the Ontario Heritage Foundation. “It is important to recognize the personal commitment of time and effort these Young Heritage Leaders have made to preserving and protecting local heritage. The leaders of tomorrow are among these many youth volunteers.”

The Young Heritage Leaders program is sponsored by Great-West Life, London Life and Canada Life.

To view a complete list of the 2004 Young Heritage Leaders recipients, or to obtain a nomination form for this year’s program, visit: www.heritagefdn.on.ca or call 416-325-5015.
NEW NATURAL HERITAGE EASEMENT PROPERTIES

By Barbara Heidenreich and Jeremy Collins

DEMAERE PROPERTY

The Foundation is a member of The Backus Woods Advisory Committee that oversees the management and conservation of 650 acres (263 hectares) of Carolinian forest in southwestern Ontario known as Backus Woods. Funds raised to date have enabled the purchase of 86 acres (35 hectares) in the former Township of South Walsingham. The Demaere Property was identified as a priority acquisition target in the Backus Woods campaign.

The Carolinian forest on this property helps to connect the Backus Woods holdings with the St. Williams’ Crown Forest lands. The property has been purchased and transferred to the Long Point Region Conservation Authority (LPRCA), owner of all the Backus Woods lands, and the Demaere Property will be subject to the conservation easement agreement.

As part of this transfer, the LPRCA has donated $30,000 to the endowment held by the Foundation for the care of Backus Woods. With this acquisition, the LPRCA has reached two significant milestones — $150,000 of the $250,000 for land acquisition will have been raised and $30,000 of the $150,000 target to top up the trust fund. Additional donations are still being sought to protect this endangered ecosystem. For information on making a donation, please visit our website (www.heritagefdn.on.ca) or call 416-314-4903.

Barbara Heidenreich is the Natural Heritage Coordinator for the Ontario Heritage Foundation. Jeremy Collins is the Foundation’s Coordinator for Acquisitions and Dispositions.

JOHN EDWARD (TED) GREENWOOD SANCTUARY

On March 30, 2005, the Ontario Heritage Foundation received — from Mary Greenwood of Nakara, Australia — a 100-acre (40-hectare) parcel east of Holleford Lake, near Kingston, abutting the Holleford life science Area of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSI). Mrs. Greenwood donated this property in the memory of her late husband; it will be known as the John Edward (Ted) Greenwood Sanctuary.

A site visit by Foundation staff in July 2004 discovered an astonishing 317 species of flora (including the nationally threatened Butternut); eight species of amphibians and reptiles (including the Northern Ribbon Snake — nationally of “Special Concern”); 45 birds (including a sighting of a Red-Shouldered Hawk — provincially and nationally of “Special Concern”); and four mammals. The property also contains several active beaver ponds, a small red pine plantation and regenerating fields. It is clearly an area of considerable natural diversity and scenic beauty.

The Kingston Field Naturalists — owners of a 500-acre (202-hectare) nature preserve just east of the property — have agreed to work with the Foundation, serving as custodians of this site. This donation is an important step in the long-term protection of the Thousand Islands-Frontenac Arch Biosphere Reserve, a UNESCO Biosphere Reserve.

On March 30, 2005, the Ontario Heritage Foundation received — from Mary Greenwood of Nakara, Australia — a 100-acre (40-hectare) parcel east of Holleford Lake, near Kingston, abutting the Holleford life science Area of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSI). Mrs. Greenwood donated this property in the memory of her late husband; it will be known as the John Edward (Ted) Greenwood Sanctuary.

A site visit by Foundation staff in July 2004 discovered an astonishing 317 species of flora (including the nationally threatened Butternut); eight species of amphibians and reptiles (including the Northern Ribbon Snake — nationally of “Special Concern”); 45 birds (including a sighting of a Red-Shouldered Hawk — provincially and nationally of “Special Concern”); and four mammals. The property also contains several active beaver ponds, a small red pine plantation and regenerating fields. It is clearly an area of considerable natural diversity and scenic beauty.

The Kingston Field Naturalists — owners of a 500-acre (202-hectare) nature preserve just east of the property — have agreed to work with the Foundation, serving as custodians of this site. This donation is an important step in the long-term protection of the Thousand Islands-Frontenac Arch Biosphere Reserve, a UNESCO Biosphere Reserve.

We started this series from the ground up — foundations and then walls and superstructures. Now we cap things off with the healthy roof. In this issue, we examine a building’s roof and the significance of this key structural element in keeping a building intact.

The roof is the most exposed part of a building; it often dominates a building’s visual character, but is also the single element most vulnerable to weathering and thus to periodic change. Even when well maintained . . . roofing materials do not last as long as other parts of the exterior. Much deterioration throughout a building is caused by too much moisture . . . and much of this moisture gets in through gaps or weaknesses in the roofing . . . At some points in a building’s life an owner will face a crucial decision whether to continue repairs or to replace the roofing entirely. In these cases . . . the craft, durability and visual impact of the old must be recaptured very carefully by the new.

Roofing materials in 19th-century Ontario included shingles in wood, slate, and metal, as well as continuous sealed sheets of metal. Metals for roofing included copper, tin-plated iron, terne-plated iron (terne is a lead/tin alloy), and (very rarely) lead. The early 20th century added asphalt shingles and clay and concrete tiles to the repertoire.

In Ontario, flat and shallow roofs are usually covered with continuous sealed membranes of tar or bitumen . . . on a built-up base of paper and felt over a wooden substructure of joints and roof boards. Much rarer for low-pitch roofs is sheet metal . . . with interlocking flat seams — extremes of temperature make metal roofs especially vulnerable to creeping, curling, and punctures.

A flat roof must retain its integrity despite accumulations of rain and snow as well as tremendous variations in temperature . . . Many tar-and-gravel roofs on quite old buildings sit on top of worn-out metal. Few built-up roofs last long without leaking, though the effective life of a well-maintained flat roof ranges from 10 years to perhaps 30.

On sloping roofs, metal roll or sheet roofing provides a smooth, relatively impervious surface, but can fail at seams and joints as well as at punctures. Thermal expansion and contraction tax every part of a metal roof . . . The use of standing seams or even wooden battens at seams gives metal roofs a characteristic vertical emphasis and also offers the metal considerable room to expand and contract.

Repairing metal roofing is expensive and requires experienced experts; poor short-term repairs will accelerate deterioration. Shingle roofing in any material is more vulnerable to leaks between units and at flashings, but it is more amenable to bit-by-bit repairs; a roof’s overall life can be extended by these repairs, but only to a point.
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Find out more about the types of roofs and materials used to finish it all off in Well-Preserved.
Before any restoration or maintenance work commences on Foundation properties, the Foundation prepares an archaeological assessment of the restoration impacts and conducts detailed archaeological studies to ensure that sufficient documentation has been obtained. Over the years at Macdonell-Williamson House, large-scale excavations, small projects and monitoring construction work have occurred.

Macdonell's improvements to his land between 1817 and 1850 were numerous. His holdings included almost 1,000 acres of land (405 hectares), grist and sawmills, an icehouse, smoke house, retail store, woodsheds, barns, forwarding shed and numerous other farm buildings. Archaeological work has revealed many of these structures as well as recovering artifacts that reflect the lifestyle of the Macdonell and Williamson families through time.

Prior to John Macdonell, there were at least four buildings on the property built by William Fortune. A stone foundation was uncovered and may represent one of the buildings noted on a 1797 plan. Its location is almost directly in front of the 1817 house built by Macdonell but on a diagonal to the house. A coin dating to 1797 was recovered within the crevices of this earlier foundation.

The house originally had window wells to provide natural light into the basement. One of the window wells contained numerous artifacts including a ceramic plate dating to the late 1830s. A total of five stone window wells have been investigated. A sixth window well had been removed during renovations by the Williamson family to the house exterior, which included a large late Victorian-era veranda.

Since 1978, there have been five seasons of archaeological work on the property resulting in over 90,000 artifacts being recovered. These artifacts included large quantities of ceramics, glass, and architectural hardware. In addition, smaller items – such as keys, coins, buttons, thimbles, gunflints, toys and clay smoking pipes – were recovered, providing archaeologists with a glimpse into the daily lives of the Macdonell family.
THIRTY YEARS AGO, when the Ontario Heritage Act was new, I was a young planner with about a year’s experience working in London, England and some specialization in heritage planning.

Since 1975, many of us have stayed the heritage course and served as volunteers, heritage consultants, provincial civil servants or municipal heritage staff – all with varying degrees of success in conserving and protecting heritage properties through advocacy, heritage reports to municipal councils, archaeological assessments or architectural proposals for re-use of old buildings. In some instances, the Act was critical in managing and conserving; in others, it had little or no impact. Still, over the past three decades, more than 5,000 individual properties and 70 districts (containing approximately 12,000 properties) have been designated under the Act – quite an achievement for a challenging and flawed piece of legislation.

Recent amendments to the Act, therefore, are a welcome relief. Provisions relating to a new role for the Minister of Culture, stop orders and conservation standards, delegation of approvals to municipal staff and the potential protection of properties from demolition are long overdue. The demolition provisions for built heritage at last bring into play the promise, in theory, of a level playing field.

Although welcome, the new legislation raises a number of fundamental issues and questions. Heritage conservation under the Act is still a discretionary activity – municipalities are not actually compelled to initiate any meaningful, proactive heritage conservation activity. As part of “smart growth” and “sustainable development,” heritage conservation has become a prerequisite for successful community planning.

In most jurisdictions, too, conservation typically tends to be characterized by a “stick” (legislation) and “carrot” (financial incentives) approach. Along with our new “stick,” a concurrent announcement of a permanent system of provincial grants would have helped immeasurably. Many of us remember the Ministry’s successful 1980s BRIC program that had tremendous positive impact.

Undoubtedly, some municipalities and municipal heritage committees will fare well with this new legislation, while for others it may just be business as usual. It’s clear that challenges remain. For instance, after 30 years of designating properties, the City of Burlington appears to have become mired in property-owner discontent and disapproval in attempting to designate their first heritage conservation district.

In Ancaster, too, we just lost an early 19th-century brick farmhouse to a suspected case of arson. The building was vacant and boarded up with no immediate use in sight. Designation – which was in the works – would not have prevented the fire. But an actively occupied building with a viable and thriving economic use would have given it a fighting chance.

Clearly, the new Act offers an enhanced toolbox for all practitioners. Yet, legislation on its own seldom creates greater enlightenment. The argument for continuing education and creating a heightened awareness of how heritage makes vibrant communities remains the greatest challenge for all of us engaged in heritage conservation.

David Cuming is Senior Project Manager with the City of Hamilton’s Heritage and Urban Design Group. He has been involved with heritage conservation since 1975. The views expressed are his own and do not represent those of his employer.
Buy this poster today!

Own this poster by renowned Canadian artist Doris McCarthy.

*Post Romano, Fool's Paradise*
(32 in x 22 in – 56 cm x 56 cm), $23 (tax included).

A portion of the proceeds from the sale of this poster goes to support the Ontario Heritage Foundation.

Buy this poster today!
www.dorismccarthy.com

Downtown Occasions in Landmark Locations

Let our magnificent venues inspire your special event.

Ontario Heritage Foundation

Conference and Reception Centres

Please call 416-314-3586 or arrange a site visit.
www.heritagefdn.on.ca

The Elgin and Winter Garden Theatre Centre

Come visit this national historic site and the last operating double-decker theatre in the world!

Guided tours on Thursdays at 3 p.m., Saturdays at 11 a.m.

Group tours by reservation. Call (416) 314-2871 for information.

Don't miss our special HALLOWEEN TOUR.

Visit www.heritagefdn.on.ca for more details.