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A message from The Honourable Lincoln M. Alexander, Chairman

I grew up during the Great Depression. From early on, I learned to reuse and adapt. Since then, an entire generation has been conservation-minded by doing little things – from switching off lights, to mending clothing, to reusing gift wrap. Without even knowing it, this generation’s behaviour is unselfconsciously green.

Today, the environment is a hot topic that everyone seems to be addressing – from governments and corporations to municipalities and individuals. There are many ways we can save our planet. But one has to question whether some of these so-called green practices and products are little more than marketing tactics. Through careful information gathering and intelligent decision making, we can all live in a more sustainable way.

When you think about it, heritage conservation is innately green. Maintaining a heritage structure makes more sense than tearing it down and dumping the debris in landfill. Given that the Ontario Heritage Trust has a mandate for both built and natural heritage preservation, neither of these outcomes is acceptable. As a society, we need to keep these issues in mind. Adaptive reuse of heritage buildings makes increasingly more sense. Replacing landfill with landscapes must become the norm. And we can do this by working – and planning – together.

Communities will thrive and grow if we build new buildings with an eye to their long-term sustainability. Or, better yet, adapt existing buildings to new purposes. While heritage speaks to our past and augments our culture, it also becomes future-looking and future-thinking. More than ever, the direction of heritage conservation is critical.

This issue of Heritage Matters explores a range of approaches to sustainability. We hope that you will find it thought-provoking and inspiring. The next time you look at a new building or enjoy a conservation area, ask yourself the questions posed here. The answers may surprise you.
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Fact or fiction: Demystifying the myths around going green – Moving toward a more sustainable architecture

By Sean Fraser

The word “sustainability” has become so over-used that it is starting to sound hollow. Before we can discuss architectural sustainability, we need to return to the terminology itself.

Sustainable: able to be maintained at a certain rate or level . . . conserving an ecological balance by avoiding a depletion of natural resources (Oxford English Dictionary)

Using this vision of sustainability, let’s consider some prevailing myths that may inhibit our ability to develop environmentally responsible architecture. Following each myth is a counter-proposal that recaptures the objective of sustainability. These ideas are controversial and provocative, but they also reflect the core ethos of the conservation movement in both cultural and natural heritage.

Myth: we can build our way to sustainability

Myth: We can build our way to sustainability
New green buildings, products and materials are more sustainable than existing ones. If all our new buildings are green, we will solve our sustainability challenges.

Proposition: Invest in existing building stock
The current green wash of the marketplace can divert us from the real solution. Our approach to sustainability must move from an assumption of new construction to a mindset where renovation, rehabilitation and recycling are the norm. Architecture is long-term infrastructure that must be retained for centuries – not a commodity to be discarded within a generation.

Myth: Higher density is more sustainable
High urban density may be a laudable objective, but the urban form the density takes will dramatically impact its long-term sustainability. Taller isn’t always greener. While density may lead to savings in one sector, it may cost in others. For instance, with building heights over 10 storeys, the stack effect forces a reliance on year-round mechanical air conditioning. Eventually, the cost of electricity and other factors may make high-rise construction no longer viable – especially when combined with the short life cycle of some cladding systems.

Myth: Low maintenance is green
Better technology, innovative materials and green design make new buildings sustainable, while older buildings are inefficient.

Proposition: The wisdom of traditional building technology
We expect older buildings to perform like modern ones and sometimes this makes historic buildings appear less efficient. Before we evaluate new versus old, we need to evaluate the expectations themselves. One of the most challenging issues in modern building practice is the artificial isolation of habitats from our natural environment, akin to living in a bubble. This has major philosophical, architectural, environmental and technological repercussions that are difficult to overcome. It is extravagant, unscientific and unhealthy to isolate ourselves completely from the environment. A traditional building responds to the seasons and reminds us that all architecture – interior and exterior – is a closed system with finite resources. Technology can help us use our resources wisely, but corporate, community and personal restraint are also required.

Myth: More is better
Better technology, innovative materials and green design make new buildings sustainable, while older buildings are inefficient.

Proposition: Labour is the most renewable resource
New construction is a pillar of our economy. The objectives of sustainable architecture must be weighed against larger economic and political priorities.

Myth: Sustainability must be weighed against economics
New construction is a pillar of our economy. The objectives of sustainable architecture must be weighed against larger economic and political priorities.

Proposition: Environmental destruction is not economically sustainable
The modern construction industry relies on mass-produced prefabricated building systems shipped from long distances rather than customized building systems that are labour-intensive and locally available. While change will require a global economic realignment, industry must evolve as well.

Myth: Labour is the most renewable resource
Common sense teaches us that everything wears out and needs attention over time. We must plan for maintenance and repair in the design of all buildings – new and existing, heritage and non-heritage – effectively investing in craft and labour rather than replacing entire building systems when a single component fails.

Sustainability requires that we retain, understand and conserve existing building stock. It will take public education and building consensus, but the tide is turning – albeit slowly – in favour of conservation.

Sean Fraser is the Manager of Conservation Services at the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Kapuskasing was born out of the need for housing prisoners and internees during the First World War. When the war ended, returning soldiers were encouraged to settle in northern communities. In 1918, with this ready workforce in mind and the abundant forest resources in the area, the Ontario government introduced concessions for pulp operations. It was anticipated that a town would be needed to accommodate the approximately 2,500 people moving to Kapuskasing to work in the mills.
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Kapuskasing was born out of the need for housing prisoners and internees during the First World War. When the war ended, returning soldiers were encouraged to settle in northern communities. In 1918, with this ready workforce in mind and the abundant forest resources in the area, the Ontario government introduced concessions for pulp operations. It was anticipated that a town would be needed to accommodate the approximately 2,500 people moving to Kapuskasing to work in the mills.

On July 25, 2007, the Ontario Heritage Trust and the Town of Kapuskasing unveiled a provincial plaque to commemorate the town plan that helped shape Kapuskasing – Ontario’s first provincially planned single-resource community.
Inside Sheppard’s Bush

By Sean Fraser and Karen Abel

Charles Sheppard (1876-1967) moved to the Town of Aurora in 1921, after making his fortune in the Simcoe County lumber industry. Brooklands, his modest estate near the centre of town, featured a series of English Arts and Crafts-style buildings. The estate was intended to be a farm for his son Edwin Reginald (Reg) Sheppard (1899-1986), who had recently graduated from the Agricultural College in Guelph.

Reg Sheppard, concerned about maintaining the ecological balance in a rapidly growing urban area, donated the property to the Ontario Heritage Trust in 1971 to be preserved in perpetuity as a conservation area. Today, Sheppard’s Bush Conservation Area is a 23.5-hectare (60-acre) property, comprised of 15 hectares (37 acres) of maple-beech woodland, an eight-hectare (20-acre) recreational field and many historical and non-historical buildings. It is situated on the east side of the Canadian National Railway tracks and south of Wellington Street in a part of town that includes light industry and suburban housing.

Situated on the northern edge of the ecologically significant Oak Ridges Moraine, Sheppard’s Bush features open fields and maple-beech forests characteristic of southern Ontario. Forest ground-cover plant species include: wild ginger, large-flowered trillium, Jack-in-the-pulpit and Christmas fern. Bird species supported by the forest community include: northern oriole, eastern wood peewee, northern cardinal and the uncommon pileated woodpecker. A small spring-fed headwater stream of the Holland River traverses the southeast corner of the park at the base of a steep slope.

The most significant building on the property is the stucco-clad main house, designed by Toronto architect A.S. Mathers. Over the years, the sugar bush and sugar shack also located on the property became landmarks for generations of children. Given its urban setting, perhaps the most significant feature of this property is its remarkable natural state. A longtime resident of Aurora, Reg Sheppard realized the potential for urban growth in the region and, through his donation, wished to create for future generations “an oasis in an area of paved streets and houses.” Stewardship of the property’s natural heritage features is managed by the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority in partnership with the Trust. A network of approximately 3 km (1.9 miles) of trails is maintained within the conservation area, providing opportunities for natural heritage education. Sheppard’s Bush highlights the impact that one individual with a commitment to sustainability can have. Part of the Trust’s role as property owner is to honour Mr. Sheppard’s goal of preserving the environmental integrity of the property. The Town of Aurora is currently designating Brooklands under the Ontario Heritage Act.

Gala evening launches Lincoln M. Alexander Legacy Fund

By Catherine Axford

Net proceeds from the gala – $135,000 — represented the first contribution to the Legacy Fund. But the Legacy Fund is an ongoing activity. The Trust continues to raise funds, and hopes that you will support this important endeavour. In this magazine, you will find a business reply envelope. If you wish to support the Ontario Heritage Trust and the Lincoln M. Alexander Legacy Fund by making a donation, please use the envelope, write “LMA Legacy Fund” on the form and return it to the Trust. Your donation will provide a tribute to a remarkable man and help preserve our collective heritage for future generations.
In June 2007, the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA) hosted a celebration to recognize the private and public efforts to protect two recently acquired wetlands – the Lacey and Norrie properties, part of the Beaver River Trail Conservation Area. A generous bequest by Katharine Symons – in remembrance of her brother, Lieutenant Douglas Bond Symons – was recognized, as were the contributions of other conservation partners, including the Ontario Heritage Trust and the Nature Conservancy of Canada.

The beautiful, provincially significant Beaver River Wetland runs from near Uxbridge past Blackwater and Sunderland and north to Cannington, covering an area of about 2,300 hectares (5,683 acres). Part of this wetland has been designated by the Ministry of Natural Resources as an Area of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSI). The Trust – through its Natural Spaces Land Acquisition and Stewardship Program (NSLASP) – contributed to these protection efforts by assisting with the acquisition of the properties. The Lacey and Norrie properties will now remain in public ownership with stewardship by the LSRCA, and natural heritage conservation easements held by the Trust.

These properties add 25 hectares (62 acres) to the LSRCA’s Beaver River Trail Conservation Area. The Lacey property features 15 hectares (37 acres) of marsh, swamp and cultural thickets. The Norrie property consists of 10 hectares (25 acres) of mixed forest and swamps. With these two wetlands protected, they can continue to act as natural filters improving water quality, providing habitat for wildlife and offering recreational opportunities for the Lake Simcoe watershed’s residents and visitors.

The Beaver River Wetland is a natural heritage gem worthy of protection. Given the ongoing pressures of urban development in this area, it’s a challenge to keep a property natural with minimal disturbance to the ecosystems that comprise its land within the wetland complex that contributes to wildlife habitat, water-quality protection and for recreational opportunities for residents and visitors. The LSRCA has acquired and protected about 203 hectares (494 acres) of wetland complex.

Funds through NSLASP have been allocated to assist with further acquisitions in the Beaver River Wetland, as well as the preparation of specific property baseline documentation reports and the overall stewardship plan for the Beaver River Wetland.

### Why nature counts

Many of us may not make a connection between protecting a wetland and our municipal water bill, or between fields covered with vegetation and the success of local businesses.

Nonetheless, our understanding of these linkages is growing. And the more we learn, the more we see that healthy natural areas are crucially important for long-term economic success and the well-being of society.

Natural areas provide valuable green services that include flood protection and water filtration that can generate savings on public works such as water treatment plants. The sustainable use of natural assets in forestry, eco-tourism and green energy can provide direct economic benefits to communities and landowners. Emerging research illustrates how greenspace has positive impacts on human health and well-being.

When we lose greenspace, we lose opportunity. We lose opportunities for new businesses; we lose the natural systems that clean our water and air; and we lose access to nature that is so integral to our emotional and physical health.

For these reasons, there is growing support for the idea that we should value natural areas for the socio-economic benefits they provide, as well as for their environmental functions, natural character or scenic beauty. Accordingly, we should also appropriately recognize that stewardship and conservation make southern Ontarians richer – as individuals and as a community.

New types of rural entrepreneurship incorporating the ethos of conservation and stewardship are unlocking the economic potential of a rich countryside.

Eco- and agri-tourism, boutique agriculture and even green industry are taking a fresh appreciation for the ethos of conservation and stewardship are unlocking the economic potential of a rich countryside.
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Heritage Matters goes online!

Heritage Matters — the Ontario Heritage Trust’s signature magazine — is now available online! PDFs of past issues from 2005 to present are available for viewing at our website (www.heritagetrust.on.ca).

From the story of Barnum House and the mysteries surrounding Algonquin Park’s Brent Crater to updates on upcoming provincial plaque unveilings and how-to stories about conserving wallpaper or old photographs, Heritage Matters offers information and insight for thousands of people across Ontario each year.

Although the Trust prints its publications on recycled paper using vegetable-based inks, we are committed to offering an environmentally friendly alternative to the traditional paper-based version of our magazine. If your preference is to access the online version of Heritage Matters, please contact us at marketing@heritagetrust.on.ca.

Working together, we can keep Ontario greener — while, at the same time, keeping you current on what’s happening in the world of Ontario’s heritage.

By Gordon Pim

Conference and Reception Centres refreshed

The Conference and Reception Centres at the Ontario Heritage Trust offers an extraordinary blend of historical and architectural significance with modern amenities. George Brown House, built in 1876 for Senator George Brown (a Father of Confederation and founder of the Globe newspaper) and the Ontario Heritage Centre (built in 1909 for the Canadian Birkbeck Investment and Savings Company in Toronto’s financial district) are both National Historic Sites that provide unique and memorable experiences.

These distinctive venues have been conserved by the Trust and adapted for sustainable re-use. During the summer and fall of 2007, they underwent restoration and renewal. The renovation was undertaken with care and sensitivity to the history of both heritage buildings, while recognizing that the conference facilities must offer enhanced 21st-century amenities. With this restoration, the conference spaces will have enhanced decor — such as new carpeting, wall treatments and updated washrooms — as well as new customized catering options. The Centres will continue to be competitively priced to meet all your needs — in carefully and tastefully refurbished rooms that can accommodate meetings and events from five to 150, depending on the venue and your needs.

For more information, call Judith Goodwin at 416-314-4911. Conference Centres revenue assists the Ontario Heritage Trust to identify, preserve, protect and promote our heritage for the benefit and enjoyment of present and future generations.

By Isla Adelson

Sustaining species at risk

Ontario, with its broad geography, is blessed with incredible biologically diverse landscapes. Most of us take this amazing biodiversity for granted and do not fully appreciate the variety of wild plants and animals and their unique habitats.

For many of these rare species, there is cause for concern as human activities grow and natural areas are modified or lost. In Ontario alone, more than 170 of our province’s wild species are at risk of extinction and need our help if they are to survive and prosper. Only now are we starting to understand the significance of our natural biodiversity and why it is critical to human health and well-being. The new Endangered Species Act, 2007 provides better protection for Ontario’s species at risk. These photographs feature some of Ontario’s species at risk that the Trust and its partners are working to protect. For more information, visit www.mnr.gov.on.ca/mnr/speciesatrisk.

Tony Buszyński is the Acting Team Leader, Natural Heritage at the Ontario Heritage Trust. Karen Abel is a Natural Heritage Consultant with the Ontario Heritage Trust.
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In the late 1990s, the Ontario Ministry of Culture introduced Eight Guiding Principles in the Conservation of Built Heritage Properties, which are in common use in Ontario’s heritage sector. When we translate these principles into the language of sustainability, they can help us better create more environmentally sensitive architecture as well as conserve our existing stock of heritage buildings:

- **Built heritage principle**
  - Respect for documentary evidence. Do not base restoration on conjecture. Conservation work should be based on historical documentation, such as photographs, drawings and physical evidence.
  - Respect for the original location. Do not move buildings unless there is no other means to save them. Site is an integral component of a building. Change in site diminishes heritage value considerably.
  - Respect for historic material. Repairs/conserve rather than replace building materials and finishes, except where absolutely necessary. Minimal intervention maintains the resource’s historical content.
  - Respect for original fabric. Repair with like materials to return the resource to its prior condition, without altering its integrity.
  - Respect for the building’s history. Do not restore to one period at the expense of another. Do not destroy later additions to a house solely to restore to a single time period.
  - Reversibility. Alterations should be reversible to original conditions. This conserves earlier building design and technique.
  - Legibility. New work should be distinguishable from old. Buildings should be recognized as products of their own time, new additions should not blur the distinction between old and new.
  - Maintenance. With continuous care, future restoration will not be necessary. With regular upkeep, major conservation projects — and their high costs — can be avoided.

- **Architectural sustainability principle**
  - Respect for documentary evidence. Sustainable design should be based on an accurate and detailed understanding of the property, the existing and historical systems and conditions.
  - Respect for the site. The energy required to alter a site should be part of the overall energy calculation. Major changes in topography, excavation and vegetation should be avoided.
  - Respect for existing material. Keep and re-use as much material as is possible. Minimize removal of building fabric and debris.
  - Respect for local materials, vernacular design and proven building traditions. Historical building traditions were labour intensive, used local materials and responded unselfconsciously to the environment through good design.
  - Respect for building and site evolution. Utilize an incremental approach to site design that contributes to the architectural collage rather than cutting everything to the landfill and starting over.
  - Recycle. Will the new work be useful, adaptable and/or demountable for future designers?
  - Legibility. The site should be read as a testimony to its evolution. Does the design of the new building waste resources trying to dress up or disguise existing forms?
  - Maintenance. Since the mid-20th century, attempts to minimize/eliminate ongoing maintenance have only proven its importance. We must design for maintenance.

Sean Fraser is the Manager of Conservation Services with the Ontario Heritage Trust.

**Sustainable design should consider the energy used to create a material, but also the accumulated energy that is lost when, years later, that material is taken to landfill. Maintenance and restoration activities add embodied energy value without consuming additional natural resources. It prolongs the service life of buildings, reduces landfill as well as the consumption of resources to produce replacement materials. The most sustainable material is the one that required little energy to produce and, through maintenance and care, has provided decades of service. In architectural heritage conservation, as in environmental sustainability, it is far better to maintain and repair than to replace. The greenest building is the one you already have.**

Romas Bubelis is an Architect with the Ontario Heritage Trust.
IN PRAISE OF OLDER WINDOWS

By Romas Bubelis

Façade: a word of double-edged meaning. Architecturally, it refers to the face of a building. In literature, more often than not, it connotes a front of story misunderstood intended to conceal something unpleasant.

The Ontario Heritage Trust is in the midst of restoring a façade—that of its Toronto headquarters, the Ontario Heritage Centre. This façade has a restrained Edwardian character punctuated by burats of Beaux Arts-spirited ornamental swags, wreaths and figural carving. It is made of industrially produced cast stone but has hand-carved sandstone embellishments. Portions of the cast stone have deteriorated beyond the reach of conservation and are being replaced with new like material. The rest is being repaired and cleaned. How much to clean? What constitutes patina and reach of conservation and are being replaced with new.

The Ontario Heritage Centre was designed by architect George Gouinlock, built in 1908 for the Birkbeck Saving and Investments Company. The façade was designed to function with natural light and natural ventilation. The modern mechanical systems installed in 1989 were designed to complement the function of these earlier ventilation devices.

Windows are where style and function come together. The façade contains a great variety of transom panels, each with their own system of manual controls that, like baffles, can be adjusted to control and channel cross-circulation of air. They come in a variety of sizes and are devices that are full of utility, but also of delight.

Elevators were commonplace in 1908. Electricity was available but privately controlled and unreliable. Ceiling fans provided limited mechanical ventilation. Air conditioning would only become available in 1915, and the chiller that provided cooling for large occupied spaces was not introduced until the mid-1920s.

Between about 1980 and 1935, multi-story commercial buildings made possible by elevators still relied primarily on natural ventilation and light. Buildings were designed to respond to climate and site in a way that made them work with the elements rather than against them—a common-sense and unfettered 11-foot ceilings, sufficient to light small repetitive offices while reducing the stairs to be climbed.

In plan, the Birkbeck Building is open on three sides. Ancillary spaces—such as stairs, washrooms and vaults—are relegated to the darkest portion of the floor plate against a blank party wall. The average depth of an office is about 14 feet, which is the depth that daylight will penetrate. There is a slightly indented light court facing west to catch light when it is most valuable and difficult to obtain—the late afternoon.

The façade of the Birkbeck Building conceals something that is practical, but by no means unpleasant—a building that takes full advantage of natural light and ventilation. At a time when new buildings are being designed with an eye to sustainable levels of energy consumption, the Birkbeck Building—and others like it—provide enlightened examples of how it can be done.

Casement windows of the Oval Boardroom. Interior corridor with frosted glass partitions. Transom panel that promotes air circulation.
Macdonell-Williamson House is owned by the Ontario Heritage Trust and operated by The Friends of Macdonell-Williamson House.

Ecoholic, by Adria Vasil (2007)

Vintage Canada
Your guide to the most environmentally friendly products, information and services in Canada. When the world’s environmental woes get you down, turn to Ecoholic – Canada’s best resource for practical tips and products that help you do your part for the Earth. You’ll get the dirt on what not to buy and why, and the dish on great gifts, clothes, home supplies and more. Ecoholic is a cheeky and eye-opening guide to all of life’s greenest predicaments.

For more information about sustainability-related topics, visit these websites:

- Association for Preservation Technology International (APT)
- Clean Air Partnership
  www.cleanairpartnership.org
- David Suzuki Foundation
  www.davidsuzuki.org
- LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design)
- Go for Green
  www.goforgreen.ca
- Green Globes
  www.greenglobes.com/design/homeca.asp
- Ontario Ministry of the Environment
  www.go greenv ontario.ca
- Species at Risk
  www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca
- US National Park Service
  www.nature.nps.gov/sustainableflwrs
- World Business Council for Sustainable Development
  www.wbcsd.org
- World Resources Institute: “Working 9 to 5 on Climate Change: An Office Guide”
  www.saledicmate.net

SUSTAINABILITY FOR OLD BUILDINGS: A DEVELOPER’S PERSPECTIVE
By Alex Spiegel

Adaptive reuse provides a sound and sustainable approach to the renewal of our urban fabric, as illustrated by the conversion of three Toronto buildings into residential lofts by Context Development – Kensington Market Lofts was created from the abandoned Kensington Campus of George Brown College. Tip Top Lofts was constructed within and above the vacant Tip Top Tailors Building on the waterfront and The Loretto brought new life to the darkened façade of Loretto College in the Annex.

With older buildings, one inherits their density and height, which is often greater than would be allowed today. Window openings are also grandfathered, providing more opportunities than current code permits. Retention of landmark buildings also draws strong community support, which helps with approvals. The marketing benefits of a conversion are significant. Older buildings provide greater floor-to-ceiling heights, unique architectural details and historical façades. Purchaser demand for historical buildings translates into positive publicity, higher sale prices and faster sales. Sales were brisk in all three of these projects – a testimony to the public’s heritage appreciation.

The social benefits of retaining historical buildings are self-evident: protecting our heritage and retaining their established place in the neighbourhood. The Tip Top building, for example, has been recognized as a landmark since its construction in the 1930s. Adaptive reuse also has strong environmental benefits. Retaining older structures conserves the substantial energy already invested in their original fabrication. Avoiding demolition results in less material in landfills, as well as reduced transportation and material costs. Use of infill sites satisfies public intensification policy in a low-impact manner, as well as using urban infrastructure and transit more efficiently.

Why isn’t everyone rushing to convert older buildings? There are challenges that must be understood before beginning these projects. For instance, there are limitations to introducing a new program into an old structure. Floor plans must be tailored to fit within existing floor plates. Underpinning to enable underground parking is often cost prohibitive. Upgrading building envelopes can be technically limiting, and remediation is commonly required to deal with environmental contaminants. Designation of heritage buildings requires additional approvals, patience and understanding from the owner, the public and local government.

The biggest challenge with conversions is the harsh reality of time and money. These projects inevitably take longer and entail more specialized skills than new construction. Careful budgeting is required, including large contingencies. There are also often unknown factors that arise during construction that require resourceful thinking and flexibility.

Conversion projects, however, provide new life for heritage buildings, ensuring their vitality in the community and their economic and environmental sustainability for years to come. They illustrate the joys and sorrows inherent in adaptive reuse. The process is not for the uninitiated or faint of heart, but the rewards certainly can make the journey worth the effort.

Alex Spiegel is President of Grenda Development Associates and Director of Development with Context Development.
Enjoy an Edwardian Christmas tea at Fulford Place

Saturday, November 24, 2007
Four sittings: Noon, 1 p.m., 2 p.m. and 3 p.m.

Join us as we celebrate the 13th annual Edwardian Christmas tea at Brockville’s Fulford Place. This delicious holiday tradition includes an elegant afternoon tea, a tour of the mansion and musical entertainment.

Book early for this popular seasonal event. Tickets are $10 per person. For reservations, please call 613-498-3005.

The Edwardian Christmas Tea is presented by the Friends of Fulford Place Association.

Celebrate Christmas at the Cabin
A group tour package at Uncle Tom’s Cabin Historic Site

November 26 to December 14, 2007

Experience an uplifting and joyous Christmas celebration this season at Uncle Tom’s Cabin Historic Site in Dresden, Ontario. This package includes a guided tour of Uncle Tom’s Cabin Historic Site, a sermonette delivered by a local minister and singing of old spirituals sung by freedom-seekers heading north. The program culminates with a Christmas banquet hosted by First Regular Baptist Church, a Black congregational church founded in 1857.

Built on the site of the Black settlement that fugitive slave and abolitionist Reverend Josiah Henson helped found in 1841, Uncle Tom’s Cabin Historic Site preserves the settlement where Henson lived.

To book a group tour package, call 519-683-2978 or e-mail utchs@heritagetrust.on.ca. For more information, visit www.uncletomscabin.org.

The Ontario Heritage Trust is your organization for the preservation of Ontario’s heritage. By making a planned gift to the Trust, you can ensure that our heritage is conserved for the enjoyment, education and well-being of present and future generations.

A planned gift is a donation to be realized in the future. Planned gifts are a source of income that will help the Trust to continue its important work.

Call 416-325-5025 today for a confidential discussion with our Manager of Fundraising and Development or e-mail us at donations@heritagetrust.on.ca to receive information by mail.